Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/087,384	PEPPERS ET	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J. Romano	2192	
All Participants:	Status of App	lication:	
(1) <u>John J. Romano</u> .	(3)	•	
(2) <u>James R. Bell, Reg No. 26,528</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>22 February 2007</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant [☐ Applicant's representativ	ve)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	No		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: NA	· .		
Claims discussed: Independent claims 1 and 14		·	
Prior art documents discussed: Dodson, US 6,513,159 & Killebrew et al, US 5,577,244			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENERAL NATURE OF	WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will property	ovide a written summary of tance of the interview, sinc	the substance
·	•	· ·	•
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that after an updated search, dependent claim 4 and 17 included allowable subject matter. Examiner called and proposed that dependent claims 4 and 17 would render the application allowable if included in the respective independent claims. Applicant's representative agreed to submit the proposed amendment in a supplemental fax to be incorporated in an Examiner's amendment if aggreeable by his client.